

United States Patent and Trademark Office

u

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/450,023	11/29/1999	WILLIAM A. GINDLESPERGER	085919.00004	7046
33448 ROBERT J. DI	7590 01/10/2008		EXAM	IINER
LEWIS T. STEADMAN ROCKEY, DEPKE & LYONS, LLC SUITE 5450 SEARS TOWER			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-6306		3693		
				DEL WEDY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/450,023	GINDLESPERGER, WILLIAM A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Stefano Karmis	3693				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 October 2007</u> .					
,	·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 27-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 27-49 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
•						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/17/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

09/450,023 Art Unit: 3693

DETAILED ACTION

The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 17 October
 2007.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1 is currently amended. Claims 2-26 are cancelled. Claims 27-49 are newly added. Therefore claims 1 and 27-49 are under prosecution.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

4. Claims 1 and 27-49 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of (1) claims 27-49 of copending Application No. 09/449,942; (2) claims 18-41 of copending Application No. 10/058,490; (3) claims 26-49 of copending Application No. 09/658,133. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number:

09/450,023

Art Unit: 3693

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claims 1 and 27-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 1, the limitation stating: "each buyer using the system generating an electronic communication providing information identifying a plurality of vendors for inclusion in a pool of vendors associated with said buyer to potentially receive a job solicitation, wherein the system stores electronic data sufficient to identify every vendor pool and its association with a corresponding buyer based upon the buyer transmitted vendor pool identification information which occurs prior to analysis of job data pertaining to a job for which bids are sought by or on behalf of the buyer" and "automatically identifying via a computer processor at least one subset from the buyer's associated pool of vendors as qualified for receiving the solicitation, based on said comparison" are not described in the specification to convey to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the inventor had possession of the invention.

The Examiner cannot find support in the specification for the buyer identifying a plurality of vendors to be included in a vendor pool and creating the vendor pool prior to analysis of job

Application/Control Number:

09/450,023

Art Unit: 3693

data and then performing a comparison in which the vendor pool is divided into a subset wherein only members of the subset receive the solicitation.

Instead, the specification of the current application teaches that a buyer inputs an invitation-for-bid dada (BIFBD) defining a customized good or service in terms of standardized buyer job attributes (Specification, page 20 and page 21). Vendor attribute sets (VATTR) are compared to the job attributed BATTR derived from the BIFBD (Specification, page 21 and Figure 1A). Based on the comparison, a vendor selection pool is created and the vendor invitation for bid is then transmitted to each of the vendors in the vendor pool (specification, page 22 and Figure 1A, step 14). Therefore, there is no teaching in the specification of the buyer selecting vendors for inclusion in a vendor pool, prior to analysis of job data, and then performing a comparison of job data to find a subset within the vendor pool to receive an invitation for bid. The specification instead supports, that the comparison creates the vendor pool with the consideration of job data, not prior to receiving job data. The specification does state that the buyer can include names of specific vendors that the buyer wish to be given the opportunity or not given the opportunity to bid (page 21), however this is submitted as part of the BIFBD along with the job data. After the vendor pool is created. all members of the vendor pool receive the invitation for bid, not a subset.

Claims 27-49 are rejected under the same reasoning. For these reasons, the claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

09/450,023 Art Unit: 3693

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (571) 272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Kramer can be reached on (571) 272-6783. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully Submitted,

Stefano Karmis

06 January 2008